

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/461,984	12/15/1999	JIN LU	PHA-23-890	4517	
24737	7590 08/11/2003				
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			EXAMINER		
			HOFFMAN, BRANDON S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·		•	2171	9	
•	•		DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>				<u> </u>
<i>:</i>	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/46	1,984	LU ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit	
	Brando	n Hoffman	2171	
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on	the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addr	'ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirt. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximur. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for ro. - Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) Status	JNICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no mmunication. y (30) days, a reply within the n statutory period will apply an eply will, by statute, cause the hs after the mailing date of this	o event, however, may a re statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this com NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in conditional closed in accordance with the pr				merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	s/are withdrawn from	consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>1 and 17</u> is/are objected				
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	triction and/or electio	n requirement.		•
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a) ☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by th	e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the drawing	g(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction f	filed on is: a)[] approved b)☐ di	sapproved by the Examiner	
If approved, corrected drawings are	required in reply to this	office action.		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) ☐ Açknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None o	of:			
1. Certified copies of the prior	ity documents have t	peen received.		
2. Certified copies of the prior	ity documents have t	peen received in Ap	oplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies application from the Int See the attached detailed Office actions	ernational Bureau (Po	CT Rule 17.2(a)).		tage
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a clair	m for domestic priority	y under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional a	application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a clai	• • •	• •		
Attachment(s)	·			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		· <u>—</u>	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Sun	nmary	Part of Paper No. 9	

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of implied terms. On lines 4 and 5, the sentence "...point of deployment (POD) module and a set-top box, are disclosed by an arrangement..." should read -- "...point of deployment (POD) module and a set-top box, by an arrangement..." --. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of its length. The abstract should be between 50 and 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the extraneous word "the". The sentence "If the at least one control information pair..." on line 16 and 17 can be fixed by deleting –the-- from the sentence so it states, "If at least one control information pair...". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Art Unit: 2171

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 12 line 24, "temper" should read, --tamper--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 6. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: On line 10, the word "key" is missing after "...respectively generating a first". By adding –key-- to the sentence, claim 1 will not be objected to. Appropriate correction is required.
- 7. Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: The dependent claim is claiming "The deployment module of claim 16..." of an independent claim that claims "A host device". Claim 17 should say, "The host device of claim 16...".

 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. <u>Claims 1-17</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Eskicioglu</u> (WO 9856179 A1) in view of <u>OpenCable POD Copy Protection System</u>, hereinafter referred to as <u>IS-POD-CP</u>, and further in view of <u>International</u> Telecommunication <u>Union</u>, hereinafter referred to as <u>ITU-T</u>.

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding <u>claim 1</u>, <u>Eskicioglu</u> teaches a system (page 6, lines 1 and 22) for copy protecting information, the system comprising:

- A set-top box (figure 1, ref. Num 20 and page 6, line 2) including;
 - Wherein the set-top box transmits a request message for information
 (page 8, lines 20 and 21)
 - The point of deployment module generates a reply message (page 8, lines 24-26)
 - Respectively generating a first key in the point of deployment module (page 8, lines 25 and 26) and a second key in the set-top box (page 9, lines 17-21)
 - And the point of deployment module encrypting the information with the first shared key (page 7, lines 15-17)
 - And transmitting the encrypted information to the set-top box (page 3, lines 11-13)
 - And the set-top box decrypting the encrypted information with the second shared key (page 10, lines 25-28) when the first and second shared keys match (page 10, lines 15-24).

Eskicioglu does not teach the system comprising a point of deployment module. He also does not teach the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information and a stream identifier.

Art Unit: 2171

<u>ITU-T</u> teaches the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having a stream identifier (figure F.7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Eskicioglu to include: the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having a stream identifier.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Eskicioglu</u> by the teachings of <u>ITU-T</u>, because the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having a stream identifier would identify the elementary stream, e.g., data files.

<u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified still does not teach the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information. He also does not teach a point of deployment module.

IS-POD-CP teaches a point of deployment module (pg. 4) and the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information (page 8, section 2.3.2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified to include: a point of deployment module and the reply message including at least one control

Art Unit: 2171

information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Eskicioglu as modified by the teachings of IS-POD-CP, because a point of deployment module would allow a secure decryption method that was also transferable to other set-top boxes. Also, the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information would allow the POD module to inform the set-top box of the level of copy protection required.

Regarding <u>claim 2</u>, <u>Eskicioglu</u> teaches a method of copy protecting information transmitted between a deployment module and a host device (page 5, lines 21-26), the method comprising the steps of:

- Transmitting a request message for the information from the host device to the deployment module (page 8, lines 20 and 21)
- Transmitting a reply message from the deployment module to the host device (page 8, lines 24-26)
- Generating a first shared key at the host (page 9, lines 17-21) and a second shared key at the deployment module (page 8, lines 25 and 26)
- Encrypting, in the deployment module, the information (page 7, lines 15-17)
- Transmitting the encrypted information from the deployment module to the host (page 3, lines 11-13)

Art Unit: 2171

- Decrypting, at the host, the encrypted information (page 10, lines 25-28); and
- Receiving the information at the host when the first and second shared keys match (page 11, lines 15-24).

Eskicioglu does not teach the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information and a stream identifier.

<u>ITU-T</u> teaches the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having a stream identifier (figure F.7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Eskicioglu</u> to include: the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having a stream identifier.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Eskicioglu</u> by the teachings of <u>ITU-T</u>, because the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having a stream identifier would identify the elementary stream, e.g., data files.

Eskicoglu as modified still does not teach the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information.

Art Unit: 2171

<u>IS-POD-CP</u> teaches the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information (page 8, section 2.3.2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified to include: the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified by the teachings of <u>IS-POD-CP</u>, because the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information would allow the POD module to inform the set-top box of the level of copy protection required.

Regarding <u>claim 3</u>, <u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified by <u>IS-POD-CP</u> and <u>ITU-T</u> teaches the deployment module is a point of deployment module (see pg. 4 of IS-POD-CP).

Regarding <u>claims 4, 10, and 15, Eskicioglu</u> as modified by <u>IS-POD-CP</u> and <u>ITU-T</u> teaches the host is a set-top box (see pg. 6, line 2 of Eskicioglu).

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding <u>claim 5</u>, <u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified by <u>IS-POD-CP</u> and <u>ITU-T</u> further teaches the encryption means includes a hash function (see pg. 11, lines 9-12 of Eskicioglu).

Regarding <u>claim 6</u>, <u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified by <u>IS-POD-CP</u> and <u>ITU-T</u> teaches encrypted information in an elementary stream of information is encrypted with the first shared key (see page 7, lines 3-6 of Eskicioglu).

Regarding <u>claim 7</u>, <u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified by <u>IS-POD-CP</u> and <u>ITU-T</u> teaches the stream identifier that is transmitted to the host is incorporated with the Packetized Elementary Stream (PES) header of the elementary stream (see figure F.2 of ITU-T).

Regarding <u>claim 8</u>, <u>Eskicioglu</u> teaches a deployment module for use with a host device, the deployment module comprising:

- Means for communicating with the host device (page 6, lines 5-7); and
- A processor for,
 - In response to a request message for information from the host device (page 8, lines 20 and 21), generating a reply message to the host device (page 8, lines 24-26)
 - o Generating a first shared key (page 8, lines 26-29)
 - Encrypting the information with the first shared key (page 7, lines 3-6)

Art Unit: 2171

 And transmitting the encrypted information to the host device (page 11, lines 20-24).

<u>Eskicioglu</u> does not teach the reply message including at least one control information pair, each pair having copy control information and a stream identifier.

<u>ITU-T</u> teaches the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having a stream identifier (figure F.7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Eskicioglu to include: the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having a stream identifier.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Eskicioglu</u> by the teachings of <u>ITU-T</u>, because the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having a stream identifier would identify the elementary stream, e.g., data files.

<u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified still does not teach the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information.

IS-POD-CP teaches the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information (page 8, section 2.3.2).

Art Unit: 2171

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified to include: the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified by the teachings of <u>IS-POD-CP</u>, because the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information would allow the POD module to inform the set-top box of the level of copy protection required.

Regarding <u>claims 9 and 14</u>, <u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified by <u>IS-POD-CP</u> and <u>ITU-T</u> teaches the deployment module being a smart card (see pg. 6, line 4 of Eskicioglu). <u>IS-POD-CP</u> additionally teaches the module is a point of deployment module (see pg. 4 of IS-POD-CP).

Regarding <u>claims 11 and 16</u>, <u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified by <u>IS-POD-CP</u> and <u>ITU-T</u> teaches the encrypted information is transmitted to the host device using a transport stream (page 11, lines 20-24 of Eskicioglu). A transport stream is used to send, or transport, data from one place to another, particularly through noisy channels (see ITU-T, page vii).

Art Unit: 2171

Eskicioglu as modified does not teach the transport stream includes at least one elementary stream.

<u>ITU-T</u> teaches the transport stream includes at least one elementary stream (see pg. vii of ITU-T).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified to include: the transport stream includes at least one elementary stream.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified by the teachings of <u>ITU-T</u>, because the transport stream includes at least one elementary stream would allow data to be transported.

Regarding <u>claim 13</u>, <u>Eskicioglu</u> teaches a host device for use with a deployment module (page 5, lines 23-26), the host device comprising:

- Means for communicating with the deployment module (page 6, lines 5-7); and
- A processor for generating a request message for information to the deployment module (page 8, lines 20 and 21),
 - And in response, receiving a reply message from the deployment module
 (page 8, lines 24-26)
 - Generating a second shared key using the at least one control information pair (page 10, lines 11-15)

Art Unit: 2171

- And decrypting encrypted information (page 10, line 25 page 11, line 3),
 received from the deployment module, with the second shared key
- And receiving the information when the second shared key matches a first shared key generated in the deployment module (page 11, lines 15-24).

Eskicioglu does not teach the reply message including at least one control information pair, each pair having copy control information and a stream identifier.

<u>ITU-T</u> teaches the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having a stream identifier (figure F.7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Eskicioglu to include: the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having a stream identifier.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Eskicioglu</u> by the teachings of <u>ITU-T</u>, because the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having a stream identifier would identify the elementary stream, e.g., data files.

<u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified still does not teach the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information.

Art Unit: 2171

<u>IS-POD-CP</u> teaches the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information (page 8, section 2.3.2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified to include: the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Eskicioglu</u> as modified by the teachings of <u>IS-POD-CP</u>, because the reply message including at least one control information pair, relating to the information, each control information pair having copy control information would allow the POD module to inform the set-top box of the level of copy protection required.

Regarding <u>claims 12 and 17</u>, <u>ITU-T</u> and <u>IS-POD-CP</u> teaches respective ones of the at least one control information pairs is associated with respective ones of the at least one elementary streams. An elementary stream (e.g., video, sound, or data) is associated with control information pairs (e.g., CCI and a stream identifier), respectively, because each elementary stream requires a stream identifier (ITU-T, figure F.7) and CCI to tell the host the level of copy control needed for that particular piece of data (IS-POD-CP, page 8, section 2.3.2).

Art Unit: 2171

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

SCTE teaches of one-way and two-way networks that utilize a set-top box and a POD module for copyright protection. SCTE also discloses that the POD module can be a PCMCIA card used in computers.

<u>Sasamoto</u> teaches of a copyright protection apparatus that uses copy control information to determine if there is no copying allowed, a limited amount of copying allowed, or an unlimited amount of copying allowed.

<u>CED</u> teaches the reason for using a POD module, such as, "a removable 'module' that performs security functions related to digital set-tops".

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon Hoffman whose telephone number is 703-305-4662. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Art Unit: 2171

Brandan Hoffm BH

BH July 30, 2003

> SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100